# Impact Analysis Report / RFC-Proposal

**Section 1: Meta-data**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **RFC ID** | **RFC\_NCTS\_0103** (RTC-52219) |
| **Related Incident ID** | IM426251 |
| **RFC Initiator / Organization** | NA-AT |
| **CI** | NCTS-P5 (DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 Main Document) |
| **Type of Change** | **Standard** **Emergency** |
| **Nature of Change** | Justification for Evolutive   |  | | --- | |  | |
| **RFC Source** | |  |  | | --- | --- | | **Legal & Policy Change**  **Organisational Changes** | **Business Change**  **IT Change** | |
| **Review by Business User recommended?** | **Yes No** |

***Change Summary***

|  |
| --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): T-ENR-ENQ-A-002 - Add the states "Arrived" and "Movement written off" of the Office of Departure in Steps 22 & 25** |
| The Steps 22 & 25 of T-ENR-ENQ-A-002 will be improved by **mentioning the state "Arrived"** when the Office of Departure receives the IE006 **and the state "Movement written-off"** when the Office of Departure receives the IE018 with satisfactory control results from the Office of Destination. |

**Section 2: Problem statement**

|  |
| --- |
| In the **Step 22** and **Step 25** of the scenario **III.IV.2.1.2 T-ENR-ENQ-A-002-Sufficient information–Enquiry with arrival processing resumed**, the following ismentioned:  **[Step 22]** The Declared should mention the state at the Office of Departure when the IE006 is received (i.e. it is set to ‘Arrived’) and when the IE018 with satisfactory control results is received (i.e. it is set to ‘Movement written-off’). |

**Section 3: Description of proposed solution**

|  |
| --- |
| The following update will be performed into the **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document)** (changes are depicted in red colour):   1. **The Step 22 and 25 of the III.IV.2.1.2 T-ENR-ENQ-A-002-Sufficient information–Enquiry with arrival processing resumed scenario will be updated as follows:**   **[Step 22]** The Declared Office of Destination (i.e. involved into the transit movement) resumes the normal arrival process by sending the ‘Arrival Advice’ C\_ARR\_ADV (IE006). Upon reception, the state at the Office of Departure is set to Arrived.  **[Step 23]** The timer [T\_Wait\_Enquiry\_Response](#T_Wait_Enquiry_Response) stops.  **[Step 24]** The ‘Cancel Enquiry Notification’ C\_CAN\_ENQ (IE059) is sent to the Competent Authority of Enquiry at Destination to close the pending ‘Enquiry Request’ C\_ENQ\_REQ (IE142) message.  **[Step 25]** Additionally, the Declared Office of Destination (i.e. involved into the transit movement) sends the ‘Destination Control Results’ C\_DES\_CON (IE018) to the Office of Departure. As per scenario, the assumption is that it contains satisfactory control result code (i.e. ‘A1’ or ‘A2’ or ‘A5’). Upon reception, the state at the Office of Departure is set to Movement written-off.    **IMPACT ASSESSMENT**  **No impact on External Domain.**  This RFC-Proposal is considered as a **purely documentary improvement** of the DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document) and assumed to have no implementation impact for the NAs.  **Proposed** date of applicability in Operations (T-Ops): N/A  **Proposed** date of applicability in CT (T-CT): N/A  **Expected** date of approval by ECCG (T-CAB): January 2022  **Impact on transition P4-P5**: None  **Consequence of not approving the RFC-Proposal**: Possible confusion for Business Analysts / National Helpdesk.  **Risk of not implementing the change**: None.    Impacted IEs:  - None  Impacted CI Artefacts:   * **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Main Document): Yes;** * UCC IA/DA Annex B: No; * Functional Specifications (FSS/BPM)-v5.30: No; * DDCOM-20.3.0-v1.00: No; * DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00 (Appendix Q2\_R\_C, PDFs): No; * CSE-v51.6.0: No; * DMP Package-5.6.0 SfA-v1.00: No (incl. update of file Rules and Conditions\_v0.43): No; * CTS-5.6.1-v1.00: No; * ACS - v5.5.0 & ACS-Annex-NCTS: 5.5.0: No; * NCTS\_CTP-5.7.0-v1.00: No; * NCTS\_TRP-5.7.5: No; * ieCA 1.0.1.0: No; * CRP-5.5.0-v1.00: No; * CS/MIS2\_DATA: No; * CS/RD2\_DATA: No; * AES-P1 and NCTS-P5 Long-Lived “Legacy” (L3) Movements Study v1.40: No. |

**Impact on CI artefacts**

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **DDNTA-5.14.1-v1.00, Main Document** | Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **As described in Section 3 - Proposed Solution** | |

**Estimated impact on National Project**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Cosmetic  Low  Medium  High  Very High  Short description   |  | | --- | | **Likely no impact** (maybe an update of the translated DDNTA Main Document?)**.** | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Document History** | | | |
| **Version** | **Status** | **Date** | ***Comment*** |
| v0.10 | Draft by CUSTDEV | 24/11/2021 |  |
| v0.11 | SfR to NPMs | 26/11/2021 |  |
| |  |  | | --- | --- | | v1.00 | SfA to NPMs | | SfA to NPMs | 25/02/2022 | *SfA to NPM* |